City of Mount Pleasant

Independent Auditor's Report
Management's Discussion and Analysis
Financial Statements and Supplementary Information
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control and Compliance
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

June 30, 2009

Contents

	Page
Officials	1
Independent Auditor's Report	2 - 3
Management's Discussion and Analysis	4 - 9
Basic Financial Statements: Government-wide Financial Statements: Statement of Activities and Net Assets - Cash Basis Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balances - Governmental Funds Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balances - Proprietary Funds Notes to Financial Statements	10 11 12 13 - 23
Required Supplementary Information: Budgetary Comparison Schedule of Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Balances Budget and Actual (Cash Basis) - All Governmental Funds and Proprietary Funds Notes to Required Supplementary Information - Budgetary Reporting	24 25
Other Supplementary Information: Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balances - Nonmajor Governmental Funds Statement of Indebtedness Bond Maturities Schedule of Receipts by Source and Disbursements by Function - All Governmental Funds Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	26 27 28 - 29 30 31
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	32 - 33
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	34 - 35
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	36 - 39

City of Mount Pleasant

Officials

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	Term Expires
John Freeland	Mayor	December 31, 2009
Mike McKim Steve Engberg Matthew Crull Joe Beames Stan Curtis Bob Griffith	Council Member	December 31, 2011 December 31, 2011 December 31, 2011 December 31, 2009 December 31, 2009 December 31, 2009
Brent Schleisman	City Administrator	Not Elected
Florence Olomon	City Clerk/Treasurer	Not Elected
Tammy Detrick	Deputy Clerk	Not Elected
Terry Sammons	Chief of Police	Not Elected
Stewart Kinney	Fire Chief	Not Elected



214 North Fourth Street PO Box 547 Burlington, IA 52601 / 319 752 6348 / 800 757 6348 / fax: 319 752 8644 / info@cpaapc.com

Independent Auditor's Report

Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council of the City of Mount Pleasant, Iowa

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Mount Pleasant, Iowa (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the basic financial statements of the City's primary government as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards and provisions require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As described in Note 1, these financial statements were prepared on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The financial statements referred to above include only the primary government of the City, which consists of all funds, organizations, institutions, agencies, departments and offices that comprise the City's legal entity. The financial statements do not include financial data for the City's legally separate component units, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be reported with the financial data of the City's primary government. As a result, the primary government financial statements do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the cash basis financial position of the reporting entity of the City as of June 30, 2009, and the changes in its cash basis financial position for the year then ended in conformity with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective cash basis financial position of the governmental activities, the business type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information for the primary government of the City as of June 30, 2009, and the respective changes in cash basis financial position for the year then ended in conformity with the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our reports dated December 28, 2009 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Management's Discussion and Analysis on pages 4 through 9 and budgetary comparison information on pages 24 and 25 are not required parts of the basic financial statements, but are supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We applied limited procedures, consisting principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. We did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's primary government financial statements. We previously audited, in accordance with the standards referred to in the second paragraph of this report, the primary government financial statements for the six years ended June 30, 2008 (which are not presented herein) and expressed unqualified opinions on those financial statements which were prepared in conformity with an other comprehensive basis of accounting. Other supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The other supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents, including the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards required by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

CPA Associates PC

December 28, 2009

City of Mount Pleasant Management's Discussion and Analysis

The City of Mount Pleasant (City) provides this Management's Discussion and Analysis of its financial statements. This narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities is for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. We encourage readers to consider this information in conjunction with the City's financial statements, which follow.

2009 FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- Revenues of the City's governmental activities increased 110%, or approximately \$7,579,000, from fiscal 2008 to fiscal 2009. Current year's revenues include general obligation bond proceeds of \$7,400,000 for street, park and public safety improvements.
- Disbursements increased 125%, or approximately \$8,938,000 in fiscal 2009 from fiscal 2008. Capital projects increased due to various street projects and debt service increased due to the paying off of the \$5,000,000 bond.
- The City's total cash basis net assets decreased 13.76%, or approximately \$1,551,000 from June 30, 2008 to June 30, 2009. Of this amount, the assets of the governmental activities decreased approximately \$1,671,000 and the assets of business type activities increased approximately \$120,000.

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

The annual report consists of a series of financial statements and other information as follows:

- Management's Discussion and Analysis introduces the basic financial statements and provides an analytical overview of the City's financial activities.
- The Government-wide Financial Statement consists of a Statement of Activities and Net Assets. This statement provides information about the activities of the City as a whole and presents an overall view of the City's finances.
- The Fund Financial Statements tell how governmental services were financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements report the City's operations in more detail than the government wide statement by providing information about the most significant funds.
- Notes to Financial Statements provide additional information essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the basic financial statements.
- Required Supplementary Information further explains and supports the financial statements with a comparison to the City's budget for the year.
- Other Supplementary Information provides detailed information about the nonmajor governmental funds. In addition, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards provides details of various federal programs benefiting the City.

BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The City maintains its financial records on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements and the financial statements of the City are prepared on that basis. The cash basis of accounting does not give effect to accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued items. Accordingly, the financial statements do not present financial position and results of operations of the funds in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Therefore, when reviewing the financial information and discussion within this annual report, the reader should keep in mind the limitations resulting from the use of the cash basis of accounting.

REPORTING THE CITY'S FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES

Government-wide Financial Statements

One of the most important questions asked about the City's finances is, "Is the City as a whole better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Activities and Net Assets reports information which helps answer this question.

The Statement of Activities and Net Assets presents the City's net assets. Over time, increases or decreases in the City's net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the City is improving or deteriorating.

The Statement of Activities and Net Assets is divided into two kinds of activities:

- Governmental Activities include public safety, public works, culture and recreation, community and
 economic development, general government, debt service and capital projects. Property tax and state and
 federal grants finance most of these activities.
- Business Type Activities include the sewer and solid waste system. These activities are financed primarily by user charges.

Fund Financial Statements

The City has two kinds of funds:

1) Governmental funds account for most of the City's basic services. These focus on how money flows into and out of those funds, and the balances at year-end that are available for spending. The governmental funds include: 1) the General Fund, 2) the Special Revenue Funds, such as Road Use Tax, Local Option Sales Tax and Urban Renewal Tax Increment, 3) the Debt Service Fund, and 4) the Capital Projects Fund. The governmental fund financial statements provide a detailed, short-term view of the City's general government operations and the basic services it provides. Governmental fund information helps determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the City's programs.

The required financial statements for governmental funds include a statement of cash receipts, disbursements and changes in cash balances.

2) Proprietary funds account for the City's Enterprise Funds. Enterprise Funds are used to report business type activities. The City maintains the Enterprise Funds to provide information for the sewer and solid waste funds, considered to be major funds of the City.

The required financial statements for proprietary funds include a statement of cash receipts, disbursements and changes in cash balances.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Net assets may serve over time as a useful indicator of financial position. The City's cash balance for governmental activities decreased from a year ago, decreasing from \$9.48 million to \$7.81 million. The analysis that follows focuses on the changes in cash balance for governmental activities.

Changes in Cash Basis Net Assets of Governmental Activities (Expressed in Thousands)	_	Year Ended June 30, 2009 2008		
Receipts:				
Program receipts:				
Charges for services	\$	256	\$	287
Operating grants, contributions and restricted interest		816		876
Capital grants, contributions and restricted interest		893		585
General receipts:				
Property tax		1,799		1,719
Local option sales tax		758		769
Tax increment financing		521		509
Debt service		991		947
Unrestricted interest on investments		284		450
Bond proceeds		7,400		-
Other general receipts	_	705	_	702
Total receipts	-	14,423	_	6,844
Disbursements:				
Public safety		1,226		1,092
Public works		545		762
Culture and recreation		1,127		1,112
Community and economic development		739		295
General government		714		616
Debt service		8,878		1,534
Capital projects	_	2,865	_	1,745
Total disbursements	_	16,094	_	7,156
Change in cash basis net assets before transfers		(1,671)		(312)
Transfers, net	-			
Change in cash basis net assets		(1,671)		(312)
Cash basis net assets beginning of year	-	9,484	_	9,796
Cash basis net assets end of year	\$_	7,813	\$_	9,484

The City's total receipts for governmental activities, including bond proceeds, increased by 110%, or \$7,579,000. The total cost of all programs and services increased by approximately \$8,938,000 or 125%, with no new programs added this year.

The cost of all governmental activities this year was \$16.09 million compared to \$7.16 million last year. However, as shown in the Statement of Activities and Net Assets on page 10, the amount taxpayers ultimately financed for these activities was only \$14.13 million because some of the cost was paid by those directly benefited from the programs (\$255,700) or by other governments and organizations that subsidized certain programs with grants and contributions (\$1,709,000). The City paid for the remaining "public benefit" portion of governmental activities with approximately \$14,129,000 in tax (some of which could only be used for certain programs) and with other receipts, such as interest and general entitlements.

Changes in Cash Basis Net Assets of Business Type Activities	Year Ended June 30,			
(Expressed in Thousands)	_	2009	_	2008
Receipts:				
Program receipts:				
Charges for service:				
Sewer	\$	1,553	\$	1,834
Solid waste		327		325
General receipts:				
Unrestricted interest on investments		12		69
Miscellaneous receipts	_	5	_	9
Total receipts	_	1,897	_	2,237
Disbursements:				
Sewer		1,457		2,228
Solid waste	_	320	_	333
Total disbursements	_	1,777	_	2,561
Change in cash basis net assets before transfers		120		(324)
Transfers, net	_		_	
Change in cash basis net assets		120		(324)
Cash basis net assets beginning of year	_	1,780	_	2,104
Cash basis net assets end of year	\$_	1,900	\$_	1,780

Total business type activities receipts for the fiscal year were \$1.90 million compared to \$2.24 million last year. This decrease was due primarily to the decrease of sewer receipts. The cash balance increased by approximately \$120,000 from the prior year primarily because of the decrease in sewer disbursements.

INDIVIDUAL MAJOR GOVERNMENTAL FUND ANALYSIS

The City uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The focus of the City's funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows and cash balances of spendable resources. The following are the major reasons for the changes in fund balances of the major funds from the prior year.

• The General Fund is the chief operating fund of the City. At the end of the current fiscal year, the cash balance of the General Fund was \$1,206,600, a decrease of \$145,700 from the prior year. The decrease is largely due to the increase public safety disbursements.

- The Local Option Sales Tax increased by \$779,300 to \$1,226,000 at year end. No transfers were made in current year to other funds.
- The Capital Projects cash balance decreased by \$2,448,000 to \$4,018,000 at year end, due to the construction of numerous streets included in our five year capital improvement plan.

INDIVIDUAL MAJOR BUSINESS TYPE FUND ANALYSIS

The cash balance of the Enterprise Funds increased by approximately \$121,000 to \$1,900,000. Most of this increase is due to the decrease in sewer operating and sewer debt service disbursements.

BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

Over the course of the year, the City amended its budget twice. The amendments were approved on March 25, 2009 and May 27, 2009 and the second amendment resulted in an increase in revenues of approximately \$538,000 and an increase in disbursements of approximately \$11,089,000. Revenue increases included intergovernmental, charges for services, other city taxes, use of money and property and miscellaneous. Expenditures increases included public safety, public works, culture and recreation, community and economic development, general government, debt service, and capital projects.

The City continued it's aggressive street reconstruction, reconstructing approximately 17 blocks of city streets along with the construction of two new parking lots downtown, creating over 50 parking spaces.

DEBT ADMINISTRATION

At June 30, 2009, the City had approximately \$20,838,000 in bonds and other long-term debt, compared to approximately \$22,255,000 last year, as shown below.

Outstanding Debt at Year End (Expressed in Thousands)	 Jun 2009	e 30), 2008
General obligation bonds Revenue bonds Urban renewal tax increment financing	\$ 9,765 9,398 1,675	\$	10,725 9,855 1,675
Total	\$ 20,838	\$_	22,255

The City continues to carry a general obligation bond rating of A3, assigned by national rating agencies to the City's debt since 1995. The Constitution of the State of Iowa limits the amount of general obligation debt that cities can issue to 5% of the assessed value of all taxable property within the City's corporate limits. The City's outstanding combined general obligation debt and urban renewal tax increment financing revenue bonds of \$11.44 million is below its constitutional debt limit of approximately \$18 million.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND RATES

The City's elected and appointed officials and citizens considered many factors when setting the 2010 fiscal year budget, tax rates, and fees that will be charged for various City activities. One of those factors is the economy. Unemployment in the County now stands at 8.8 percent compared to 6.4 percent in June 2008. The City's cash balance is in good shape.

The City is currently concentrating on street improvements and streetscaping to enhance our current neighborhoods.

CONTACTING THE CITY'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and creditors with a general overview of the City's finances and to show the City's accountability for the money it receives. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or request for additional information should be addressed in writing to the City Clerk, City of Mount Pleasant, 220 W. Monroe St, Mount Pleasant, Iowa 52641.



City of Mount Pleasant Statement of Activities and Net Assets - Cash Basis As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2009

			_		Pro	gram Receipt	s			Net (Disbo Changes in				
For the state of Posterior	<u>Di</u>	isbursements	(Charges for Service		Operating Grants, ontributions and Restricted Interest	(Capital Grants, Contributions and Restricted Interest	G	overnmental Activities	Busines Type Activitie			<u>Total</u>
Functions / Programs: Governmental activities:														
Public safety	\$	1,226,576	\$	29,579	\$	58,162	\$	_	\$	(1,138,835) \$	_		\$	(1,138,835)
Public works	Ψ	544,929	Ψ	-	Ψ	726,069	Ψ	-	Ψ	181,140	_		Ψ	181,140
Culture and recreation		1,126,608		188,367		32,262		-		(905,979)	-			(905,979)
Community and economic development		739,541		- ´		- ′		666,833		(72,708)	-			(72,708)
General government		713,633		37,738		-		-		(675,895)	-			(675,895)
Debt service		8,877,801		-		-		-		(8,877,801)	-			(8,877,801)
Capital projects	_	2,864,662	_		_			225,745	_	(2,638,917)			_	(2,638,917)
Total government activities	_	16,093,750	_	255,684	_	816,493		892,578	_	(14,128,995)			_	(14,128,995)
Business type activities:														
Sewer		1,456,825		1,553,080		-		-		-	96.	255		96,255
Solid waste	_	319,649	_	327,271	_				_		7.	622		7,622
Total business type activities	Ξ	1,776,474	_	1,880,351	_	-		-	_	_	103.	877	_	103,877
Total	\$_	17,870,224	\$_	2,136,035	\$_	816,493	\$	892,578	_	(14,128,995)	103.	877	_	(14,025,118)
General Receipts: Property tax levied for: General purposes Tax increment financing Debt service Local option sales tax Other city taxes Unrestricted investment earnings Bond proceeds Transfers Miscellaneous Total general receipts and transfers										1,799,276 520,920 990,999 758,044 243,537 284,194 7,400,156 - 461,367 12,458,493	- - 4.	890 890 780	_	1,799,276 520,920 990,999 758,044 243,537 296,084 7,400,156 - 466,257 12,475,273
Change in cash basis net assets										(1,670,502)	120	657		(1,549,845)
Cash basis net assets beginning of year									_	9,484,065	1,779	587	_	11,263,652
Cash basis net assets end of year									\$_	7,813,563 \$	1,900	244	\$_	9,713,807
Cash Basis Net Assets Restricted: Streets Sales tax Debt service Other purposes Unrestricted									\$	704,450 \$ 1,226,246 429,245 246,276 5,207,346	-		\$	704,450 1,226,246 492,046 1,835,658 5,455,407
Total cash basis net assets									\$_	7,813,563 \$	1,900	244	\$	9,713,807

See notes to financial statements.

City of Mount Pleasant Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balances Governmental Funds

As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2009

			Special Revenue					
	General	Road Use	Sales Tax	TIF	Debt Service	Capital Projects	Other Nonmajor Governmental	<u>Total</u>
Receipts:	. =							
Property tax \$	1,799,276 \$	- \$	- \$	-	\$ 990,999	\$ -	\$ - \$	2,790,275
Tax increment financing collections Other taxes	- 242 527	-	759.044	520,920	-	-	-	520,920 1,001,581
Use of money and property	243,537 97,670	-	758,044 9,764	1,887	-	254,507	2,359	366,187
License and permits	30.457	-	9,704	1,88/	-	254,507	2,339	30,187
Intergovernmental	65,443	726,069	-	-	-	225,745	- 592,497	1,609,754
Charges for services	186,189	720,009	-	-	-	223,743	7,672	193,861
Special assessments	217	-	-	-	-	-	7,072	217
Miscellaneous	364,339	-	-	-	-	109,989	35,512	509,840
Total receipts	2,787,128	726,069	767,808	522,807	990,999	590,241	638,040	7,023,092
Total receipts	2,767,126	720,009	707,808	322,607	990,999	390,241	030,040	7,023,092
Disbursements:								
Operating:								
Public safety	1,226,576	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,226,576
Public works	20,480	524,449	-	-	-	-	-	544,929
Culture and recreation	805,048	-	-	-	-	-	321,560	1,126,608
Community and economic development	114,666	-	-	45,097	-	-	579,778	739,541
General government	527,548	186,085	=	-	-	-	-	713,633
Debt service	-	-	=	-	8,877,801	-	-	8,877,801
Capital projects	<u> </u>	-				2,864,662		2,864,662
Total disbursements	2,694,318	710,534		45,097	8,877,801	2,864,662	901,338	16,093,750
Excess (deficiency) of receipts over disbursements	92,810	15,535	767,808	477,710	(7,886,802)	(2,274,421)	(263,298)	(9,070,658)
Other financing sources (uses):								
Bond proceeds	7,400,156	-	-	-	-	_	-	7,400,156
Operating transfers in (out)	(7,638,696)		11,481	(368,696)	7,923,437	(174,042)	246,516	<u> </u>
Total other financing sources (uses)	(238,540)	-	11,481	(368,696)	7,923,437	(174,042)	246,516	7,400,156
Net change in cash balances	(145,730)	15,535	779,289	109,014	36,635	(2,448,463)	(16,782)	(1,670,502)
Cash balances beginning of year	1,352,373	688,915	446,957	116,085	167,511	6,466,950	245,274	9,484,065
Cash balances end of year \$	1,206,643 \$	704,450 \$	1,226,246 \$	225,099	\$ 204,146	\$4,018,487	\$ 228,492 \$	7,813,563
Cash Basis Fund Balances								
Reserved for debt service \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	225,099	\$ 204,146	\$ -	\$ - \$	429,245
Unreserved:								
General fund	1,206,643	-	=	-	-	-	-	1,206,643
Special revenue fund	-	704,450	1,226,246	-	-	-	228,492	2,159,188
Capital projects fund		-		_		4,018,487		4,018,487
Total cash basis fund balances \$_	1,206,643 \$	704,450 \$	51,226,246	225,099	\$	\$4,018,487	\$228,492	7,813,563

See notes to financial statements.

City of Mount Pleasant Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balances Proprietary Funds As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2009

		Enterpr	ise Funds		
	Sewer <u>Revenue</u>	Sewer Plant Improvement	Sewer Sinking Fund	Solid Waste	Total
Operating receipts: Charges for services Total operating receipts	\$ 1,553,080 1,553,080	\$	\$	\$ 327,271 327,271	\$ 1,880,351 1,880,351
Operating disbursements: Business type activities Total operating disbursements	704,175 704,175	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	319,649 319,649	1,023,824 1,023,824
Excess (deficiency) of receipts over disbursements	848,905		<u> </u>	7,622	856,527
Non-operating receipts (disbursements): Interest on investments Miscellaneous Debt service Net non-operating receipts	10,913 4,282	- - -	- - (752,650)	977 608 	11,890 4,890 (752,650)
(disbursements)	15,195		<u>(752,650</u>)	1,585	(735,870)
Operating transfers in (out)	(904,665)	192,000	712,665		
Net change in cash balances	(40,565)	192,000	(39,985)	9,207	120,657
Cash balances beginning of year	58,423	1,397,382	102,786	220,996	1,779,587
Cash balances end of year	\$ <u>17,858</u>	\$1,589,382	\$ 62,801	\$ 230,203	\$1,900,244
Cash Basis Fund Balances Reserved for equipment replacement Reserved for debt service Unreserved	\$ - - 17,858	\$ 1,589,382 - -	\$ - 62,801 -	\$ - - 230,203	\$ 1,589,382 62,801 248,061
Total cash basis fund balances	\$ 17,858	\$ 1,589,382	\$ 62,801	\$ 230,203	\$ 1,900,244

See notes to financial statements.

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The City of Mount Pleasant (City) is a political subdivision of the State of Iowa located in Henry County. It was first incorporated in 1851 and operates under the Home Rule provisions of the Constitution of Iowa. The City operates under the Mayor-Council form of government with the Mayor and Council Members elected on a non-partisan basis. The City provides numerous services to citizens, including public safety, public works, culture, recreation, community and economic development and general government services. The City also provides solid waste and sewer utilities for its citizens.

Reporting Entity

For financial reporting purposes, the City has included all funds, organizations, agencies, boards, commissions and authorities. The City has also considered all potential component units for which it is financially accountable and other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the City are such that exclusion would cause the City's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has set forth criteria to be considered in determining financial accountability. These criteria include appointing a voting majority of an organization's governing body, and 1) the ability of the City to impose its will on that organization or 2) the potential for the organization to provide specific benefits to, or impose specific financial burdens on the City.

These financial statements present the City of Mount Pleasant (the primary government) and exclude the City's component units. The component units discussed below are not included in the City's reporting entity although their operational or financial relationship with the City is significant.

Excluded Component Units

Mount Pleasant Municipal Utilities is a component unit of the City of Mount Pleasant. The City Council appoints the Board of Trustees of the Mount Pleasant Utilities. However, since Mount Pleasant Municipal Utilities operates under the accrual method of accounting and the City operates under the cash method of accounting, this component unit has not been presented in the accompanying financial statements. The financial statements of the Municipal Utilities are available at the utilities office, 509 North Adams Street, Mount Pleasant, Iowa.

Mount Pleasant Public Library Foundation (Foundation) is a component unit of the City of Mount Pleasant. The Foundation, a separate legal entity, exists for the purpose of attracting funds to benefit the Public Library which serves the Mount Pleasant area and to disburse those funds in a manner which will benefit the Library. The Foundation meets the definition of a component unit since it raises funds on behalf of the Library. The financial statements of Mount Pleasant Public Library Foundation have not been audited and, accordingly, this component unit has not been presented in the accompanying primary government audited financial statements.

Jointly Governed Organizations

The City participates in several jointly governed organizations that provide goods or services to the citizenry of the City but do not meet the criteria of a joint venture since there is no ongoing financial interest or responsibility by the participating governments. City officials are members of the following boards and commissions: Mount Pleasant Area Development Commission, Henry County Conference Board, Henry County 911 Board, Southeast Iowa Regional Planning Commission, Henry County Emergency Management Commission, Henry County Street & Road Classification Board and Des Moines County Regional Solid Waste Commission.

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Basis of Presentation

<u>Government-wide Financial Statements</u> - The Statement of Activities and Net Assets reports information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the City. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from this statement. Governmental activities, which are supported by tax and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for services.

The Statement of Activities and Net Assets presents the City's nonfiduciary net assets. Net assets are reported in two categories:

Restricted net assets result when constraints placed on net asset use are either externally imposed or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted net assets consist of net assets that do not meet the definition of the preceding category. Unrestricted net assets often have constraints on resources imposed by management, which can be removed or modified.

The Statement of Activities and Net Assets demonstrates the degree to which the direct disbursements of a given function are offset by program receipts. Direct disbursements are those clearly identifiable with a specific function. Program receipts include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function and 2) grants, contributions and interest on investments restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function. Property taxes and other items not properly included among program receipts are reported instead as general receipts.

<u>Fund Financial Statements</u> - Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds and proprietary funds. Major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. All remaining governmental funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor governmental funds.

The City reports the following major governmental funds:

The General Fund is the general operating fund of the City. All general tax receipts and other receipts not allocated by law or contractual agreement to some other fund are accounted for in this fund. From the fund are paid the general operating disbursements, the fixed charges and the capital improvement costs that are not paid from other funds.

Special Revenue:

The Road Use Tax Fund is used to account for road construction and maintenance.

The Urban Renewal Tax Increment Fund (TIF) is used to account for urban renewal projects financed by tax increment financing.

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Special Revenue (continued):

The Local Option Sales Tax Fund is used to account for the revenues from the tax authorized by referendum and used for capital improvements, equipment and community programs and services.

The Debt Service Fund is utilized to account for the payment of interest and principal on the City's general long-term debt.

The Capital Projects Fund is used to account for all resources used in the acquisition and construction of capital facilities.

The City reports the following major proprietary funds:

The Solid Waste Fund accounts for the operation and maintenance of the City's solid waste collection system.

The Sewer Funds account for the operation and maintenance of the City's waste water treatment and sanitary sewer system.

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The City maintains its financial records on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements and the financial statements of the City are prepared on that basis. The cash basis of accounting does not give effect to accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued items. Accordingly, the financial statements do not present financial position and results of operations of the funds in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating receipts and disbursements from non-operating items. Operating receipts and disbursements generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. All receipts and disbursements not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating receipts and disbursements.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgetary comparison and related disclosures are reported as Required Supplementary Information. During the year ended June 30, 2009, disbursements did not exceed the amounts budgeted.

The budget adopted includes the City and the Mount Pleasant Municipal Utilities (component unit). However, for purposes of these financial statements, only the portion applicable to the City is included.

Subsequent Events

The City performed an evaluation of subsequent events through December 28, 2009. There have been no subsequent events that occurred that would require disclosure or recognition in the financial statements as of June 30, 2009.

Note 2. Pension and Retirement Benefits

The City contributes to the Iowa Public Employees Retirement System (IPERS), which is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the State of Iowa. IPERS provides retirement and death benefits which are established by state statute to plan members and beneficiaries. IPERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. The report may be obtained by writing to IPERS, P.O. Box 9117, Des Moines, Iowa 50306-9117.

Plan members are required to contribute 4.10% of their annual covered salary and the City is required to contribute 6.35% of covered salary. Certain employees in special risk occupations and the City contribute an actuarially determined contribution rate. Contribution requirements are established by state statute. The City's contribution to IPERS for the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$110,534, \$102,495, and \$103,831, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

International City Management Association (ICMA)

The Iowa Public Employees Retirement System regulations allows City Administrators to exempt themselves from participating in the state retirement system. Therefore, the City has made a retirement contribution to the ICMA retirement system for the City Administrator which allows a contribution up to 25% of salary with a maximum of \$12,000. For the years ended June 30, 2009, 2008, and 2007, these contributions totaled \$6,136, \$5,361, and \$4,205, respectively.

Note 3. Bonds and Notes Payable

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for general obligation bonds, urban renewal tax increment financing bonds, and sewer revenue bonds are as follows:

Year						Urban Re	new	al Tax
Ending	(General Obli	igati	ion Bonds	Ir	ncrement Fir	nanc	ing Bonds
<u>June 30,</u>		Principal Principal		<u>Interest</u>]	Principal		<u>Interest</u>
2010	\$	1,020,000	\$	347,630	\$	-	\$	67,095
2011		780,000		298,011		100,000		67,095
2012		780,000		273,070		100,000		63,496
2013		790,000		247,695		100,000		59,896
2014		830,000		220,797		100,000		56,296
2015-2019		4,100,000		710,281		645,000		220,360
2020-2023	_	1,465,000	_	108,873	_	630,000	_	68,140
	\$_	9,765,000	\$_	2,206,357	\$_	1,675,000	\$_	602,378

Note 3. Bonds and Notes Payable (continued)

Year								
Ending		Sewer Rev	<u>otal</u>					
<u>June 30,</u>]	Principal Principal		<u>Interest</u>		<u>Principal</u>		<u>Interest</u>
2010	\$	471,000	\$	338,618	\$	1,491,000	\$	753,343
2011		485,000		319,814		1,365,000		684,920
2012		500,000		300,394		1,380,000		636,960
2013		516,000		280,325		1,406,000		587,916
2014		531,000		259,656		1,461,000		536,749
2015-2019		2,895,000		962,063		7,640,000		1,892,704
2020-2024		3,283,000		408,870		5,378,000		585,883
2025	_	717,000	_	21,510	_	717,000	_	21,510
	\$_	9,398,000	\$_	2,891,250	\$_	20,838,000	\$_	5,699,985

The Code of Iowa requires principal and interest on general obligation bonds to be paid from the Debt Service Fund.

Urban Renewal Tax Increment Financing Revenue Bonds

The urban renewal tax increment financing bonds were issued for the purpose of defraying a portion of the costs of carrying out urban renewal projects of the City. The notes and bonds are payable solely from the income and proceeds of the Urban Renewal Tax Increment Fund and the taxes to be paid into the fund in accordance with Chapter 403.19 of the Code of Iowa. The proceeds of the urban renewal tax increment financing bonds shall be expended only for purposes which are consistent with the plans of the City's urban renewal area. The bonds are not general obligations of the City, however the debt is subject to the constitutional debt limitation of the City. Total principal and interest remaining on the bonds is \$2,277,378, payable through 2023. For the current year, interest paid and total TIF receipts were \$67,095 and \$520,920, respectively. Among the requirements of these issues is the City maintain a reserve fund equal to the lesser of the maximum annual amount of the principal and interest coming due on the bonds or 10 percent of the stated principal amount of the bonds. On June 30, 2009, the City had a balance of \$167,500 in the reserve fund.

Revenue Bonds

The City has pledged future sewer customer receipts, net of specified operating disbursements, to repay two revenue bond issues. The bonds were issued April 1998 and November 2005. Proceeds from the notes provided financing for the construction of several sewer system projects. The notes are payable solely from sewer customer net receipts and are payable through 2025. The total principal and interest remaining to be paid on the notes is \$12,289,250. For the current year, principal and interest paid and total customer net receipts were \$752,650 and \$848,905, respectively.

The resolutions providing the issuance of the sewer revenue bond include the following provisions:

- (a) The bonds will only be redeemed from the future earnings of the enterprise activity and the bond holders hold a lien on the future earnings of the funds.
- (b) Monthly transfers equal to a sum of one-twelfth of the principal of all the Sewer Revenue Bonds maturing on the next maturity date plus one-sixth of the interest coming due on the next interest payment date shall be made to the Sewer Revenue Bond Sinking Fund for the purpose of making bond interest and principal payments when due.

Note 3. Bonds and Notes Payable (continued)

Revenue Bonds (continued)

- (c) Sewer user charges must be established at a level which produces and maintains net revenues equal to at least 110% of principal and interest requirements due in the same year.
- (d) The City is in compliance with the Sewer Revenue Debt Service Reserve Account and Sewer Improvement Account requirements as stated in the revenue bond resolutions.

Note 4. Cash and Investments

The City's deposits in banks at June 30, 2009 were entirely covered by federal depository insurance or by the State Sinking Fund in accordance with Chapter 12C of the Code of Iowa. This chapter provides for additional assessments against the depositories to insure there will be no loss of public funds.

The City is authorized by statute to invest public funds in obligations of the United States government, its agencies and instrumentalities; certificates of deposit or other evidences of deposit at federally insured depository institutions approved by the City Council; prime eligible bankers acceptances; certain high rated commercial paper; perfected repurchase agreements; certain registered open-end management investment companies; certain joint investment trusts; and warrants or improvement certificates of a drainage district.

The City's investments are categorized to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the City at year end. The City's investments are all Category 1, which means that the investments are insured or registered or the securities are held by the City or the agent of the City in the City's name.

Note 5. Compensated Absences

City employees accumulate a limited amount of earned but unused vacation hours for subsequent use or for payment upon termination, retirement or death. These accumulations are not recognized as disbursements by the City until used or paid. The City's approximate liability for earned vacation payments payable to employees at June 30, 2009, primarily relating to the General Fund, is \$143,700.

City employees accumulate sick leave up to a maximum of 90 days. If not used, this sick leave is otherwise lost except upon retirement when an employee may convert up to 30 days of unused sick leave to separation pay. The maximum liability to the City at June 30, 2009 was \$481,600.

Police Department employees accumulate holiday time. The maximum liability to the City at June 30, 2009 was \$128.

The above liabilities have been computed based on rates of pay as of June 30, 2009.

Note 6. Risk Management

The City of Mount Pleasant is a member in the Iowa Communities Assurance Pool, as allowed by Chapter 670.7 of the Code of Iowa. The Iowa Communities Assurance Pool (Pool) is a local government risk-sharing pool whose 577 members include various governmental entities throughout the State of Iowa. The Pool was formed in August, 1986 for the purpose of managing and funding third-party liability claims against its members. The Pool provides coverage and protection in the following categories: general liability, automobile liability, automobile physical damage, public officials liability, police professional liability, property, inland marine, and boiler/machinery. There have been no reductions in insurance coverage from prior years.

Each member's annual casualty contributions to the Pool fund current operations and provide capital. Annual operating contributions are those amounts necessary to fund, on a cash basis, the Pool's general and administration expenses, claims, claims expenses and reinsurance expenses due and payable in the current year, plus all or any portion of any deficiency in capital. Capital contributions are made during the first six years of membership and are maintained to equal 200 percent of the total current members' basis rates or to comply with the requirements of any applicable regulatory authority having jurisdiction over the Pool.

The Pool also provides property coverage. Members who elect such coverage make annual operating contributions which are necessary to fund, on a cash basis, the Pool's general and administrative expenses and reinsurance premiums, all of which are due and payable in the current year, plus all or any portion of any deficiency in capital. Any year-end operating surplus is transferred to capital. Deficiencies in operations are offset by transfers from capital and, if sufficient, by the subsequent year's member contributions.

The City's property and casualty contributions to the risk pool are recorded as disbursements from its operating funds at the time of payment to the risk pool. The City's annual contribution to the Pool for the year ended June 30, 2009 was approximately \$155,800.

The Pool uses reinsurance and excess risk-sharing agreements to reduce its exposure to large losses. The Pool retains general, automobile, police professional, and public officials' liability for risks up to \$350,000 per claim. Claims exceeding \$350,000 are reinsured in an amount not to exceed \$2,650,000 per claim and \$10,000,000 in aggregate per year. For members requiring specific coverage from \$3,000,000 to \$10,000,000, such excess coverage is also reinsured. Property and automobile physical damage risks are retained by the Pool up to \$100,000 each accident, each location, with excess coverage reinsured on an individual-member basis.

The Pool's intergovernmental contract with its members provides that in the event a casualty claim or series of claims exceeds the amount of risk-sharing protection provided by the member's risk-sharing certificate, or in the event that a series of casualty claims exhausts total member's equity plus any reinsurance and any excess risk-sharing recoveries, then payment of such claims shall be the obligation of the respective individual member. As of June 30, 2009, settled claims have not exceeded the risk pool or reinsurance company coverage since the Pool's inception.

Note 6. Risk Management (continued)

Members agree to continue membership in the Pool for a period of not less than one full year. After such period, a member who has given 60 days prior written notice may withdraw from the Pool. Upon withdrawal, payments for all claims and claims expenses become the sole responsibility of the withdrawing member, regardless of whether a claim was incurred or reported prior to the member's withdrawal. Members withdrawing within the first six years of membership may receive a partial refund of their capital contributions. After the sixth year, the member is refunded 100 percent of its capital contributions, however, the refund is reduced by an amount equal to the annual operating contribution which the withdrawing member would have made for the one-year period following withdrawal.

The City also carries commercial insurance purchased from other insurers for coverage associated with workmen's compensation and various types of liability insurance. The City assumes liability for any deductibles and claims in excess of coverage limitations. Settled claims resulting from these risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

Note 7. Related Party Transactions

The City has business transactions between the City and City officials totaling \$2,023 during the year ended June 30, 2009. The Mount Pleasant Municipal Utility Company pays the City on an annual basis for use of the facilities. This amounted to \$100,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009 and is included as revenue in the general fund.

Note 8. Commitments

In the normal course of business, the City has various outstanding commitments that are not reflected in the accompanying financial statements. The principal commitments of the City at June 30, 2009 are as follows:

Police Department	\$	1,059,479
Downtown Gateway		158,449
Street construction		1,722,683
T-Hangar Access Paving	_	246,351
	\$	3,186,962

Note 9. Conduit Debt Obligations

The City has, in the past, assisted in several issues of industrial revenue bonds, issued for the purposes of constructing privately-owned manufacturing and other related facilities within the City. The bonds are not direct or contingent liabilities of the City, as the revenue from lease agreements and property purchased with the bond proceeds are pledged for the total payment of principal and interest on the bonds and the bondholders can only look to these sources for repayment. The following are the original outstanding issues in which the City assisted:

Company	<u>Type</u>	Year Issued	<u>Issued</u>
Metromail, Series A	Revenue	1980	\$ 500,000
Metromail, Series B	Revenue	1980	4,000,000
Vega Industries	Revenue	1981	2,865,000
Vega Industries	Revenue	1981	5,000,000
Twin City Corp/Makay Envelope	Revenue	1982	300,000
Twin City Corp/Makay Envelope	Revenue	1982	200,000
Heatilator	Revenue	1982	3,700,000
Pioneer	Revenue	1983	1,000,000
City Carton	Revenue	1984	350,000
Wal-Mart	Revenue	1984	10,000,000
Lomont Molding, Inc.	Revenue	1985	1,400,000

The City assumes many of these issues have been repaid, but has been unable to determine actual amounts still remaining at June 30, 2009.

The City has issued \$2,000,000 of Private College Taxable Refunding Bonds and \$2,200,000 of Private College Non-taxable Refunding Bonds pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 419 of the Code of Iowa. Bonds and interest thereon are payable solely out of revenues derived from the financing of the Iowa Wesleyan College project and shall never constitute an indebtedness to the City.

Note 10. Deficit Fund Balance

The HUD Rehab Grant Fund, a nonmajor governmental fund, had a deficit balance of \$17,880 at June 30, 2009. The deficit balance was a result of project costs incurred prior to availability of funds. The deficit will be eliminated upon receipt of annual HUD reimbursements.

Note 11. Operating Lease

The City leases computer equipment for the police department. The lease has a term of three years expiring September 2010, with the option to renew for an additional twelve month period. Lease payments for the year ended June 30, 2009 totaled \$13,829. Future minimum lease payments until the end of the lease term are:

2010	\$13,829
2011	3,457

Note 12. Donated Electricity and Water

The City is not billed for electricity and water usage or service and materials provided by the Mount Pleasant Municipal Utilities. The meters of the City are read monthly and the resulting amount computed at normal rates is considered to be a donation from the Mount Pleasant Municipal Utilities. During the year ended June 30, 2009, services donated by the Mount Pleasant Municipal Utilities to the City totaled approximately \$191,600 and \$15,100 for the electric and water funds, respectively.

Note 13. Public Safety Agreement

The City has an agreement with Henry County where the County provides dispatching services for the City of Mount Pleasant. The City pays \$10,000 per year and the contract is cancelable by either party. The agreement amount shall be adjusted every three years thereafter by averaging the number of calls for the preceding three years and adjusting the hourly rate to the current hourly compensation rate of the Henry County communications dispatcher.

Note 14. Interfund Transfers

The detail of interfund transfers for the year ended June 30, 2009 is as follows:

Transfer to:	
Library operations	\$ 246,516
Sewer sinking	712,665
Sewer improvement	192,000
Local option sales tax	11,481
Debt service	7,923,437
	\$ <u>9,086,099</u>
Transfer from:	
General fund	\$ 7,638,696
Sewer revenue	904,665
Capital projects	174,042
TIF funds	368,696
	\$ <u>9,086,099</u>

Transfers generally move resources from the fund statutorily required to collect the resources to the fund statutorily required to disburse the resources.

Note 15. Community Betterment Foundation

The City has established a non-endowed donor-advised fund through an agreement with The Greater Cedar Rapids Community Foundation to receive property for public charitable, scientific, literary and educational purposes. The donor retains the privilege of making recommendations to the Foundation's Board of Directors as to charitable distributions of principal from the fund in accordance with specific provisions. At June 30, 2009, this fund has a fair market value of \$35,827.

Note 16. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

The City implemented GASB Statement No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits other Than Pensions during the year ended June 30, 2009.

<u>Plan Description</u> - The City operates a single-employer retiree benefit plan which provides medical/prescription drug benefits for retirees and their spouses. There are 36 active and no retired members in the plan. Participants must be age 55 or older at retirement.

The medical/prescription drug coverage is provided through a fully-insured plan with Wellmark. Retirees under age 65 pay the same premium for the medical/prescription drug benefit as active employees, which results in an implicit subsidy and an OPEB liability.

<u>Funding Policy</u> - The contribution requirements of plan members are established and may be amended by the City. The City currently finances the retiree benefit plan on a pay-as-you-go basis. The most recent active member monthly premiums for the City and plan members are \$369 for single coverage and \$928 for family coverage. The same monthly premiums apply to retirees. For the year ended June 30, 2009, the City contributed \$296,533 and plan members eligible for benefits contributed \$36,841 to the plan.



City of Mount Pleasant

Budgetary Comparison Schedule

of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Balances Budget and Actual (Cash Basis) - All Governmental Funds and Proprietary Funds

Required Supplementary Information

Year Ended June 30, 2009

Receipts:	Governmental Funds <u>Actual</u>	Proprietary Fund Type <u>Actual</u>	Less Funds not Required to be Budgeted	<u>Total</u>	Budgeted <u>Original</u>	Amounts Final	Final to Total <u>Variance</u>
Property tax	\$ 2,790,275	© _	\$ -	\$ 2,790,275	\$ 2,782,888	\$ 2,782,888	\$ 7,387
Tax increment financing collections	520,920	φ - -	ψ - -	520.920	513,500	513,500	7,420
Other city taxes	1,001,581	_	-	1,001,581	895,414	931,414	70,167
Use of money and property	366,187	11,890	2,359	375,718	353,200	338,988	36,730
Licenses and permits	30,457	-	-	30,457	35,550	36,200	(5,743)
Intergovernmental	1,609,754	-	-	1,609,754	1,523,160	1,756,319	(146,565)
Charges for service	193,861	1,880,351	-	2,074,212	1,976,463	1,989,381	84,831
Special assessments	217	-	-	217	-	217	-
Miscellaneous	509,840	4,890	21,128	493,602	250,850	520,676	(27,074)
Total receipts	7,023,092	1,897,131	23,487	8,896,736	8,331,025	8,869,583	27,153
Disbursements: Public safety Public works Culture and recreation Community and economic development General government Debt service Capital projects Business type activities Total disbursements	1,226,576 544,929 1,126,608 739,541 713,633 8,877,801 2,864,662	- - - - - - - 1,776,474 1,776,474	26,765 3,334 - - - 30,099	1,226,576 544,929 1,099,843 736,207 713,633 8,877,801 2,864,662 1,776,474 17,840,125	1,177,142 595,208 1,098,109 735,891 684,288 1,503,607 2,160,628 1,959,189 9,914,062	1,296,331 605,525 1,211,734 853,216 826,101 8,899,226 5,391,245 1,919,761 21,003,139	69,755 60,596 111,891 117,009 112,468 21,425 2,526,583 143,287 3,163,014
Excess (deficiency) of receipts over disbursements Other financing sources	(9,070,658) <u>7,400,156</u>	120,657	(6,612)	(8,943,389) 7,400,156	(1,583,037)	(12,133,556) 7,334,759	(3,190,167) 65,397
Net change in cash balances	(1,670,502)	120,657	(6,612)	(1,543,233)	(1,583,037)	(4,798,797)	3,255,564
Cash balances beginning of year	9,484,065	1,779,587	184,670	11,078,982	14,851,523	14,851,523	(3,772,541)
Cash balances end of year	\$ 7,813,563	\$ <u>1,900,244</u>	\$ 178,058	\$ 9,535,749	\$ <u>13,268,486</u>	\$ <u>10,052,726</u>	\$ (516,977)

City of Mount Pleasant Notes to Required Supplementary Information - Budgetary Reporting June 30, 2009

The budgetary comparison is presented as Required Supplementary Information in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 41 for governments with significant budgetary perspective differences resulting from not being able to present budgetary comparisons for the General Fund and each major Special Revenue Fund.

In accordance with the Code of Iowa, the City Council annually adopts a budget on the cash basis following required public notice and hearing for all funds, except blended component units, Internal Service, non-expendable trust, and agency funds (when they exist). The annual budget may be amended during the year utilizing similar statutorily prescribed procedures.

Formal and legal budgetary control is based upon nine major classes of disbursements known as functions, not by fund or fund type. These nine functions are: public safety, public works, health and social services, culture and recreation, community and economic development, general government, debt service, capital projects and business type activities. Function disbursements required to be budgeted include disbursements for the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, Debt Service Fund, Capital Projects Funds and Proprietary Funds. Although the budget document presents function disbursements by fund, the legal level of control is at the aggregated function level, not by fund. During the year, budget amendments increased budgeted disbursements by \$11,089,077. The budget amendments are reflected in the final budgeted amounts.

During the year ended June 30, 2009, disbursements did not exceed the amounts budgeted.



City of Mount Pleasant Statement of Cash Receipts, Disbursements and Changes in Cash Balances Nonmajor Governmental Funds As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2009

Descriptor	Library <u>Operations</u>	HUD Special Purpose <u>Grant</u>	HUD Rehab <u>Grant</u>	Iowa Community Disaster <u>Grant</u>	Library <u>Bequests</u>	Old Forest <u>Cemetery</u>	Other <u>Programs</u>	<u>Total</u>
Receipts: Use of money and property Charges for services Miscellaneous Intergovernmental Total receipts	\$ - 7,672 6,414 32,262 46,348	\$ - - - 75,218 - 75,218	\$ - - 7,970 <u>481,626</u> <u>489,596</u>	\$ - - - 3,391 3,391	\$ 2,359 - 4,524 - 6,883	\$ - 3,531 - 3,531	\$ - 13,073 - 13,073	\$ 2,359 7,672 35,512 592,497 638,040
Disbursements: Culture and recreation Community and economic development Total disbursements	294,795 - 294,795		557,835 557,835	3,391 3,391	10,685	280	15,800 3,334 19,134	321,560 579,778 901,338
Excess (deficiency) of receipts over disbursements	(248,447)	60,000	(68,239)		(3,802)	3,251	(6,061)	(263,298)
Other financing sources (uses): Operating transfers in Operating transfers out Total other	246,516 - 246,516	(60,000) (60,000)	60,000	-	- - -	-	- - -	306,516 (60,000) 246,516
Net change in cash balances	(1,931)	-	(8,239)	-	(3,802)	3,251	(6,061)	(16,782)
Cash balances beginning of year	2,026		(9,641)		48,773	118,183	85,933	245,274
Cash balances end of year	\$ 95	\$	\$(17,880)	\$	\$ <u>44,971</u>	\$ <u>121,434</u>	\$ 79,872	\$ 228,492

City of Mount Pleasant Statement of Indebtedness Year Ended June 30, 2009

<u>Obligation</u>	Date of Issuance	Interest <u>Rates</u>	Amount Originally <u>Issued</u>	Balance Beginning of Year	Issued During <u>Year</u>	Redeemed During <u>Year</u>	Balance End of <u>Year</u>	Interest <u>Paid</u>
General obligation bonds:								
General purpose	06/09/00	3.69-4.450%	\$ 3,535,000	\$ 300,000	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 13,100
General purpose, Series 2002A	03/01/02	4.00-4.125%	2,000,000	1,050,000	-	550,000	500,000	43,188
General purpose, Series 2003A	06/02/03	3.50-4.300%	3,000,000	2,490,000	-	2,490,000	-	98,850
General purpose, Series 2003B	06/02/03	3.70-5.000%	925,000	830,000	-	100,000	730,000	37,346
General purpose, Series 2004A	05/12/04	2.40-4.250%	1,265,000	705,000	-	140,000	565,000	24,647
General purpose, Series 2006	10/01/06	3.30%	350,000	350,000	-	-	350,000	11,550
General purpose, Series 2007	06/01/07	4.00%	5,000,000	5,000,000	-	5,000,000	-	200,000
General purpose, Series 2009A	04/01/09	3.40-3.850%	5,000,000	-	5,000,000	-	5,000,000	-
General purpose, Series 2009B	05/01/09	1.00-4.000%	2,420,000		2,420,000		2,420,000	
• •				10,725,000	7,420,000	8,380,000	9,765,000	428,681
Revenue bonds:								
Sewer Revenue Bond	04/01/98	4.23%	7,657,000	4,973,000	-	365,000	4,608,000	149,190
Sewer Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2005	11/29/05	3.00%	5,110,000	4,882,000	-	92,000	4,790,000	146,460
Ç			, ,	9,855,000	-	457,000	9,398,000	295,650
Urban renewal TIF revenue bonds:								
Sewer, Series 2003C	06/01/03	3.50-4.30%	1,675,000	1,675,000			1,675,000	67,095
				1,675,000			1,675,000	67,095
Total				\$ 22,255,000	\$ 7,420,000	\$ 8,837,000	\$ 20,838,000	\$ 791,426

City of Mount Pleasant Bond Maturities June 30, 2009

Year Ending <u>June 30</u> ,	General Obligation Bond General Purpose Issued June 9, 2000 Interest Rate Amour	Series 2 Issued Marc	002A	General Oblig Series 2 Issued June Interest Rate	003B	General Obligat Series 200 Issued May 12 Interest Rate)4A
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023	4.45% \$ 100, 4.45% \$ 100, 	000 4.100% S	\$ 500,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - -		\$ 105,000 110,000 120,000 125,000 130,000 140,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		\$ 140,000 45,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 55,000 60,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - -
Year Ending June 30,	General Obligation Bon Refunding Series 2006 Issued October 1, 2006 Interest Rate Amou	Series 2 Issued Apri	2009A	General Oblig Series 2 Issued May Interest Rate	2009B		Total General Obligation Bonds
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023	3.30% \$ 20 3.30% 330 		\$ - 50,000 475,000 475,000 500,000 525,000 550,000 575,000 600,000 625,000 \$ 5,000,000		\$ 155,000 145,000 135,000 140,000 150,000 155,000 165,000 170,000 180,000 185,000 205,000 215,000 225,000 \$ 2,420,000		\$ 1,020,000 780,000 780,000 790,000 830,000 875,000 770,000 805,000 840,000 810,000 820,000 205,000 215,000 225,000 \$\frac{2}{2},000 \$\frac{2}{2},000 \$\frac{2}{2},000 \$\frac{2}{2},000 \$\frac{2}{2},000 \$\frac{2}{2},000 \$\frac{2}{2},000 \$\frac{2}{2},000 \$\frac{2}{2},000

City of Mount Pleasant Bond Maturities June 30, 2009

Year Ending June 30.	Sewer Revent Series 98- Issued Novem Interest Rate	B&02-C	Sewer Revolution State Revolution Entered Into August Interest Rate	ving Funds	<u>Total Sewer</u>		Tax Increment e, Series 2003C ne 1, 2003 Amount
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	3.00% 3.00% 3.00% 3.00% 3.00% 3.00% 3.00% 3.00%	\$ 91,000 89,000 88,000 86,000 83,000 79,000 74,000	4.23% 4.23% 4.23% 4.23% 4.23% 4.23% 4.23% 4.23%	\$ 380,000 396,000 412,000 430,000 448,000 467,000 487,000 508,000	\$ 471,000 485,000 500,000 516,000 531,000 546,000 561,000 578,000	3.50% 3.50% 3.50% 3.50% 3.50% 3.60% 3.70% 3.80%	\$ - 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 140,000
2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025	3.00% 3.00% 3.00% 3.00% 3.00% 3.00% 3.00%	67,000 63,000 618,000 637,000 656,000 676,000 696,000 717,000	4.23% 4.23%	529,000 551,000 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	596,000 614,000 618,000 637,000 656,000 676,000 696,000 717,000 \$ 9,398,000	3.90% 4.00% 4.00% 4.10% 4.20% 4.30%	150,000 155,000 160,000 170,000 150,000 - - \$ 1,675,000

City of Mount Pleasant Schedule of Receipts By Source and Disbursements By Function -All Governmental Funds

			Y	ears Ended June	30,		
	2009	2008	<u>2007</u>	2006	2005	2004	<u>2003</u>
Receipts:							
Property tax	\$ 2,790,275	\$ 2,666,464	\$ 2,598,300	\$ 2,743,478	\$ 2,684,045	\$ 2,664,877	\$ 2,233,835
Tax increment financing collections	520,920	508,792	357,760	211,403	293,711	365,003	327,854
Other city taxes	1,001,581	966,396	873,980	,	894,428	837,250	699,009
Use of money and property	366,187	612,916	411,378	265,513	193,164	186,122	182,448
Licenses and permits	30,457	37,470	69,816	38,000	40,557	73,681	34,144
Intergovernmental	1,609,754	1,276,027	2,135,387	1,446,231	2,075,264	1,382,920	1,891,849
Charges for services	193,861	217,261	182,362	214,298	294,525	319,066	309,353
Special assessments	217	4,421	5,937	6,307	11,874	18,156	13,901
Miscellaneous	509,840	554,115	1,483,597	828,290	1,874,589	973,201	235,571
Total	\$ <u>7,023,092</u>	\$_6,843,862	\$ <u>8,118,517</u>	\$6,683,547	\$_8,362,157	\$ 6,820,276	\$ 5,927,964
Disbursements:							
Operating:							
Public safety	\$ 1,226,576	\$ 1,091,768	\$ 1,684,159	\$ 1,148,526	\$ 979,955	\$ 1,028,699	\$ 936,670
Public works	544,929	761,693	454,133	676,375	559,937	535,225	548,659
Health and social services	-	-	-	-	-	3,000	6,000
Culture and recreation	1,126,608	1,112,108	1,088,018	1,015,235	1,034,104	1,003,641	932,266
Community and economic development	739,541	294,961	493,463	199,371	159,254	178,258	225,513
General government	713,633	615,915	602,292	583,228	564,557	561,336	556,393
Debt service	8,877,801	1,534,138	1,205,693	1,432,832	1,789,598	3,109,457	1,565,410
Capital projects	2,864,662	1,745,042	1,396,665	1,757,334	4,891,719	4,330,866	2,284,249
Total	\$ <u>16,093,750</u>	\$ 7,155,625	\$ 6,924,423	\$ 6,812,901	\$ 9,979,124	\$ <u>10,750,482</u>	\$ 7,055,160

City of Mount Pleasant Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards June 30, 2009

Federal Agency/Pass Through Agency Program - Grant Title	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Grantor Program <u>Number</u>	Program <u>Disbursements</u>
Indirect: Department of Housing and Urban Development Iowa Department of Economic Development Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	07-HM-102-22	\$ 381,510
Direct:			
U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration Airport Improvement Program Airport Improvement Program	20.106 20.106	3-19-0062-07-2007 3-19-0062-09-2009	18,778 30,970
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	STP-E-5292(607)-8V-44	36,854
Department of Housing and Urban Development Special Purpose Grant	14.197	IA05SPG503	75,218
Department of Homeland Security Assistance to Firefighters Grant	97.044	EMW-2007-FO-01925	51,240
			\$ 594,570

Basis of Presentation - The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of the City of Mount Pleasant and is presented in conformity with an other comprehensive basis of accounting. The information on this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.



214 North Fourth Street PO Box 547 Burlington, IA 52601 / 319 752 6348 / 800 757 6348 / fax: 319 752 8644 / info@cpaapc.com

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council:

We have audited the accompanying primary government financial statements of the governmental activities, the business type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Mount Pleasant, Iowa (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements listed in the table of contents, and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2009. Our report expressed unqualified opinions on the primary government financial statements which were prepared in conformity with an other comprehensive basis of accounting. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies and other deficiencies we consider to be material weaknesses.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of the control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the City's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with an other comprehensive basis of accounting such that there is more than a remote likelihood a misstatement of the City's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control described in Part II of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies, and accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, of the significant deficiencies described above, we believe item II-A-09 and II-B-09 to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, non-compliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of non-compliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we noted certain immaterial instances of non-compliance or other matters that are described in Part III of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Comments involving statutory and other legal matters about the City's operations for the year ended June 30, 2009 are based exclusively on knowledge obtained from procedures performed during our audit of the financial statements of the City. Since our audit was based on tests and samples, not all transactions that might have had an impact on the comments were necessarily audited. The comments involving statutory and other legal matters are not intended to constitute legal interpretations of those statutes.

The City's responses to findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. While we have expressed our conclusions on the City's responses, we did not audit the City's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report, a public record by law, is intended solely for the information and use of the officials, employees and citizens of the City and other parties to whom the City may report. This report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We would like to acknowledge the many courtesies and assistance extended to us by personnel of the City during the course of our audit. Should you have any questions concerning any of the above matters, we shall be pleased to discuss them with you at your convenience.

CPA Associates PC

December 28, 2009



214 North Fourth Street PO Box 547 Burlington, IA 52601 / 319 752 6348 / 800 757 6348 / fax: 319 752 8644 / info@cpaapc.com

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Mount Pleasant, Iowa (City), with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 *Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009. The City's major federal programs are identified in Part I of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements applicable to its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessary identify all deficiencies in the City's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weakness as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance.

A control deficiency in the City's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect non-compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the City's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood non-compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item III-A-09 to be a significant deficiency.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood material non-compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the City's internal control. We consider the significant deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item III-A-09 to be a material weakness.

The City's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. While we have expressed our conclusions on the City's responses, we did not audit the City's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report, a public record by law, is intended solely for the information and use of the officials, employees and citizens of the City and other parties to whom the City may report, including federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities. This report is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

CPA Associates PC

December 28, 2009

Part I: Summary of the Independent Auditor's Results

- (a) Unqualified opinions were issued on the financial statements which were prepared on the basis of cash receipts and disbursements, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
- (b) Significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting were disclosed by the audit of the financial statements, including material weaknesses.
- (c) The audit did not disclose any noncompliance which is material to the financial statements.
- (d) A significant deficiency in internal control over major programs were disclosed by the audit of the financial statements, which is considered to be a material weakness.
- (e) An unqualified opinion was issued on compliance with requirements applicable to the major program.
- (f) The audit disclosed an audit finding which was required to be reported in accordance with Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Section .510(a).
- (g) Major program was as follows:
 - CFDA Number 14.239 Department of Housing and Urban Development Home Investment Partnerships Program
- (h) The dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs was \$300,000.
- (i) City of Mount Pleasant did not qualify as a low-risk auditee.

Part II: Findings Related to the Financial Statements

MATERIAL WEAKNESSES

II-A-09 Preparation of Financial Statements - The City does not have an internal control system designed to provide for the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with an other comprehensive basis of accounting, nor has management identified risks related to the preparation of reliable financial statements and as a result has failed to design effective controls over the preparation of the financial statements to prevent or detect material misstatements. Therefore, as auditors, we were requested to draft the financial statements and accompanying notes to the financial statements. This circumstance is not unusual for a governmental entity similar in population to the City. It is the responsibility of management and those charged with governance to make the decision whether to accept the degree of risk associated with this condition because of cost or other considerations.

<u>Recommendation</u> - We recognize that with a limited number of office employees, preparation of the financial statements is difficult. However, we recommend that City officials continue reviewing operating procedures in order to obtain the maximum internal control possible under the circumstances.

Part II: Findings Related to the Financial Statements (continued)

<u>Response</u> - The City will continue to review and monitor procedures currently in place and evaluate the risks to be accepted in preparation of the financial statements.

Conclusion - Response accepted.

II-B-09 Segregation of Duties - One important aspect of the internal control structure is the segregation of duties among employees to prevent one individual employee from handling a transaction from its inception to its completion. Management has not separated incompatible activities of personnel, thereby creating risks related to the safeguarding of cash and the accuracy of the financial statements.

<u>Recommendation</u> - We realize that with a limited number of office employees, segregation of duties is difficult. However, the City Council and management should continue to provide oversight and direction based upon their direct knowledge of the City's operations and day-to-day contact with employees to control and safeguard assets.

<u>Response</u> - The City will continue to review operating procedures and segregate employee duties to the extent financially feasible to maximize internal control.

Conclusion - Response accepted.

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

II-C-09 <u>Credit Cards</u> - The City has credit cards for use by various employees while on City business. The City has not adopted a formal policy to regulate the use of credit cards and to establish procedures for the proper accounting of credit card charges.

<u>Recommendation</u> - The City should adopt a formal written policy regulating the use of City credit cards. The policy, at a minimum, should address who controls credit cards, who is authorized to use credit cards and for what purposes, as well as the types of supporting documentation required to substantiate charges.

<u>Response</u> - Although the City has not established a formal written policy detailing specifics on the use of City credit cards, they have unwritten guidelines. The City will review procedures and guidelines and establish a written policy.

Conclusion - Response accepted.

Instances of Non-Compliance:

No matters were reported.

Part III: Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY:

III-A-09 <u>Segregation of Duties over Federal Receipts</u> - The City did not properly segregate collection, deposit and record-keeping for receipts, including those related to federal programs. See item II-A-09.

Instances of Non-Compliance:

No matters were reported.

Part IV: Other Findings Related to Statutory Reporting

- IV-A-09 <u>Official Depositories</u> A resolution naming official depositories has been adopted by the Council. The maximum deposit amounts stated in the resolution were not exceeded during the year ended June 30, 2009.
- IV-B-09 <u>Questionable Disbursements</u> Certain disbursements we believe may not meet the requirements of public purpose as defined in an Attorney General's opinion dated April 25, 1979 since the public benefits to be derived have not been clearly documented. These disbursements are detailed as follows:

<u>Paid to</u> <u>Purpose</u> <u>Amount</u>

Mt. Pleasant Firefighters Inc. Donation \$ 10,914

According to the opinion, it is possible for such disbursements to meet the test of serving a public purpose under certain circumstances, although such items will certainly be subject to a deserved close scrutiny. The line drawn between a proper and an improper purpose is very thin.

<u>Recommendation</u> - The Council should determine and document the public purpose served by these disbursements before authorizing any further payments. If this practice is continued, the City should establish written policies and procedures, including the requirements for proper documentation.

Response - We will comply with this recommendation.

Conclusion - Response accepted.

- IV-C-09 <u>Certified Budget</u> Disbursements during the year ended June 30, 2009 did not exceed the amounts budgeted.
- IV-D-09 <u>Travel Expense</u> No disbursements of City money for travel expenses of spouses of City officials or employees were noted.

IV-E-09 <u>Business Transactions</u> - Business transactions between City and City officials are detailed as follows:

Name, Title, and Business Connection	<u>Transactions</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Stewart Kinney, Fire Chief, wife is owner of Cherished Memories	Jacket	\$ 40
Brent Schleisman, City Administrator, wife is independent contractor	Cleaning services	\$ 1,583

In accordance with Chapter 362.5(11) of the Code of Iowa, the transaction does not appear to represent conflicts of interest since total transactions were less than \$2,500 during the fiscal year.

- IV-F-09 <u>Bond Coverage</u> Surety bond coverage of City officials and employees is in accordance with statutory provisions. The amount of coverage should be reviewed annually to insure that the coverage is adequate for current operations.
- IV-G-09 <u>Council Minutes</u> No transactions were found that we believe should have been approved in the Council minutes but were not.
- IV-H-09 <u>Bonds and Revenue Notes</u> The City has established sinking, reserve and surplus accounts required by the sewer revenue note resolutions.
- IV-I-09 <u>Deposits and Investments</u> We noted no instances of noncompliance with the deposit and investment provisions of Chapter 12B and 12C of the Code of Iowa and the City's investment policy.
- IV-J-09 Financial Condition The HUD Rehab Grant Fund had a deficit balance at June 30, 2009 of \$17,880.

<u>Recommendation</u> -We understand that project costs incurred prior to receipt of HUD reimbursements created the deficit in this account. However, the City should monitor the progress of the HUD receipts and disbursements and review the control procedures throughout the collection period.

Response - We will monitor and review.

Conclusion - Response accepted.